

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/081,023 | 02/21/2002 | Jawed Asrar | 17396/09057CIP | 2905 |
| 7: | 590 10/06/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| Charles E. Dunlap | | | PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL | |
| Keenan Buildin | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1330 Lady Street Columbia, SC 29201 | | | 1616 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | A1:4(-) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| X : | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 10/081,023 | ASRAR ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Alton N. Pryor | 1616 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtid will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25. | June 2004. | | | | |
| <u> </u> | is action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | ers, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 2.8-17.20-29 and 64-98 is/are pendi | ng in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>86-94,96 and 97</u> is/s | - ' ' | ration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>2,8-17,20-29,64-85,95</u> is/are rejecte | d. | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | - | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | Ar | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | | ov the Evaminer | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document | • | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | onlication No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | • | • | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | received in this National Stage | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | received. | | | |
| • | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ,, [T] | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

| Application/Control Number: 10/081,023 | Page 2 |
|--|--------|
| Art Unit: 1616 | |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 6/25/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See arguments below.

- I. Restriction requirement is final. Groups I-III are distinct inventions. Group I is to a method, Group II is to an agronomic plant / plant propagation material and Group III is to a formulation. Each invention would require a different search and the reference applicable to one invention may not be applicable to the other two inventions.
- II. Rejection of claims 2,9-11,13,24-27 are maintained under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuda is maintained in light of amendment filed 6/25/04 for reason on record and reason as follows. Applicant argues that Tsuda does not teach seed / plant treatment with simeconazole in the absence of pest pressure. Examiner argues that in the substantial absence of pest pressure is not the same as in the absence of pest pressure. The substantial absence of pest pressure is read as having some pest pressure present. For this reason, the rejection record is maintained. Applicant is correct in that no data can overcome this rejection.
- III. Rejection of claim 77 under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Tsuda in view of Simons will be maintained for reason on record and reason as follows. Applicant / Examiner arguments above in II is applicable here in III. Examiner thanks Applicant for pointing out that claim 77 is to be considered instead of claim 72.
- IV. Rejection of claims 2,8-17,20-29,64-85,95 under 35 USC 112 will be maintained for reason on record and reason as follows. Applicant recites, "strobilurin-type" in the claims. Examiner suggests that Applicant replaces "strobilurin-type" by "a strobilurin".

| Application/Control Number: | Page 3 |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| 10/081,023 | |
| Art Unit: 1616 | |

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

| Application/Control Number: 10/081,023 | , | Page 4 |
|--|---|--------|
| Art Unit: 1616 | | |

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616